ETHzürich



Network Mining for Personalized Medicine

Karsten Borgwardt

ETH Zürich, Department Biosystems

The Hague, September 3, 2016

Department Biosystems

Mapping Phenotypes to the Genome



A genome-wide association study (GWAS) examines whether variation in the genome (in form of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs) correlates with variation in the phenotype.

ECCB Workshop W7 September 3, 2016 2 / 34

Department Biosystems

Missing Heritability

- Since 2001: More than 2000 new disease loci due to GWAS
- Problem: Phenotypic variance explained still disappointingly low

Vol 461|8 October 2009|doi:10.1038/nature08494

nature

ECCB Workshop W7 | September 3, 2016 | 3 / 34

REVIEWS

Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases

Teri A. Manolio¹, Francis S. Collins², Nancy J. Cox³, David B. Goldstein⁴, Lucia A. Hindorff⁵, David J. Hunter⁶, Mark I. McCarthy⁷, Erin M. Ramos⁵, Lon R. Cardon⁶, Aravinda Chakravarti⁷, Judy H. Cho¹⁰, Alan E. Guttmacher¹, Augustine Kong¹¹, Leonid Kruglyak¹², Elaine Mardis¹³, Charles N. Rotimi¹⁴, Montgomery Slatkin¹⁵, David Valle⁹, Alice S. Whittemore¹⁶, Michael Boehnke¹⁷, Andrew G. Clark¹⁸, Evan E. Eichler¹⁹, Greg Gibson²⁰, Jonathan L. Haines²¹, Trudy F. C. Mackay²², Steven A. McCarroll²³ & Peter M. Visscher²⁴

Genome-wide association studies have identified hundreds of genetic variants associated with complex human diseases and traits, and have provided valuable insights into their genetic architecture. Most variants identified so far confer relatively

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

Potential Reasons for Missing Heritability

Polygenic architectures

• Most current analyses neglect additive or multiplicative effects between loci \rightarrow need for approaches for **multi-locus mapping**

Small effect sizes

Not detectable with small sample sizes

Phenotypic effect of other genetic, epigenetic or non-genetic factors

- Genetic properties ignored so far, e.g. rare SNPs
- Epigenetic modifications of the genome
- Environmental effect on phenotype

Department Biosystems Karsten

Addressing Potential Reasons for Missing Heritability

Machine Learning in Genetics

- **1** Multi-locus mapping:
 - Algorithms to discover disease-related systems of genetic loci
- 2 Increasing sample size:
 - Algorithms that support large-scale genotyping, association mapping and phenotyping
- **3** Deciding whether additional information is required:
 - Tests that quantify the impact of additional (epi)genetic factors

Addressing Potential Reasons for Missing Heritability

Machine Learning in Genetics

- 1 Multi-locus mapping:
 - Efficient algorithms for discovering disease-related SNP pairs (кор 2011, ISMB 2011)
 - Efficient algorithms for discovering disease-related SNP sets (ISMB 2013, ISMB 2015, KDD 2015)
- 2 Increasing sample size:
 - Large-scale genotyping in A. thaliana (Nature Genetics 2011, Cell 2016)
 - Large-scale association mapping with mixed models (Bioinformatics 2013)
 - Automated image phenotyping of guppy fish (Bioinformatics 2011)
 - In silico phenotyping of migraine patients (Bioinformatics 2015)
- **3** Deciding whether additional information is required:
 - Assessing the stability of methylation across generations of Arabidopsis lab strains (Nature 2011a, PLoS Genetics 2015)
 - Assessing the functional impact of sequence variants (Human Mutation 2015)

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 | September 3, 2016 | 5 / 34

Addressing Potential Reasons for Missing Heritability

Machine Learning in Genetics

- 1 Multi-locus mapping:
 - Efficient algorithms for discovering disease-related SNP pairs (кор 2011, ISMB 2011)
 - Efficient algorithms for discovering disease-related SNP sets (ISMB 2013, ISMB 2015, KDD 2015)
- 2 Increasing sample size:
 - Large-scale genotyping in A. thaliana (Nature Genetics 2011, Cell 2016)
 - Large-scale association mapping with mixed models (Bioinformatics 2013)
 - Automated image phenotyping of guppy fish (Bioinformatics 2011)
- **3** Deciding whether additional information is required:
 - Assessing the stability of methylation across generations of *Arabidopsis* lab strains (Nature 2011a, PLoS Genetics 2015)
 - Assessing the functional impact of sequence variants (Human Mutation 2015)



Problem statement

Find the pair of SNPs most correlated with a binary phenotype

 $\operatorname{argmax}_{i,i} |r(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y})|$

- **x**_i and **x**_j represent one SNP each and **y** is the phenotype; **x**_i, **x**_j, **y** are all *n*-dimensional vectors, given *n* individuals.
- There can be up to $p = 10^7$ SNPs, and order 10^{14} SNP pairs.

• Existing approaches: Greedy selection, Branch-and-bound strategies or index structures \rightarrow low recall or worst-case $O(p^2)$ time

Department Biosystems

Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 | September 3, 2016 | 6 / 34 $^{\circ}$

Lightbulb algorithm (Paturi et al., COLT 1989)

- Retrieves most correlated pair out of p binary vectors in $O(p^{1+\frac{\ln c_1}{\ln c_2}})$ via iterative hashing $(c_1 = \text{highest}, c_2 = 2\text{nd highest correlation})$
- Discrepancy 1: SNPs are non-binary in general
- Discrepancy 2: Pearson's correlation coefficient

Our solution (Achlioptas et al., KDD 2011)

- Binarize our SNPs via locality sensitive hashing (Charikar, 2002).
- Show that the Lightbulb algorithm on the binarized, transformed data computes the solution to our maximum correlation problem on the unbinarized original data.
- Empirical result: Approx. $O(p^{1.5})$, speed-up of factor 1000 in practice

Department Biosystems

Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 | September 3, 2016 | 7 / 34



September 3, 2016 | 8 / 34 ECCB Workshop W7

Alternative: Engineering approach

- Use parallel computing power of Graphical Processing Units for interaction discovery (Kam-Thong et al., ISMB 2011 & Human Heredity 2012)
- Similar speed-up as the rLightbulb algorithm

Road ahead

- We are part of the SNP × SNP interaction discovery projects of
 - The international lung disease genetics consortium COPDGene
 - The international headache genetics consortium IHGC (Clinical Migraine)

Multi-Locus Models: Discovering Trait-Related Networks



Network information

- What about models with more than 2 SNPs?
- Additive models are hard to interpret, multiplicative models are hard to compute.
- Can the growing knowledge about gene and protein networks be exploited to improve multi-locus mapping?

ETH zürich

Multi-Locus Models: Discovering Trait-Related Networks



Edges between SNPs near the same gene or SNPs in interacting genes

• c_i is the association score of SNP i, $f_i = 1$ if SNP i is selected, $f_i = 0$ if not.

Find a set of SNPs with maximum total score:

argmax $\boldsymbol{c}^{\top}\boldsymbol{f}$ $f \in \{0,1\}^p$

such that

- the selected SNPs form a connected subgraph and
- **f** is sparse.

NP-complete problem: Maximum Weight Connected Subgraph Problem (Lee and Dooly, 1993) ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 11 / 34

Department Biosystems

Multi-Locus Models: Discovering Trait-Related Networks



Multi-Locus Models: Discovering Trait-Related Networks

Our formulation (Azencott et al., ISMB 2013)

- Networks are incomplete \rightarrow Connectedness needs not be strictly enforced, but merely rewarded by a Graph Laplacian regularizer $\boldsymbol{f}^{\top} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{f} = \sum_{i \sim i} (f_i f_j)^2$, where $\boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{W}$.
- The SNP subnetwork selection problem is then:



- This is a min-cut problem, for which efficient algorithms exist (we use Boykov and Kolmogorov, IEEE TPAMI 2004).
- Much faster and recovers four times more phenotype-related genes in A. thaliana than network-constrained Lasso models

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 13 / 34

Multi-Locus Models: Further Topics

Other important aspects

- Including prior knowledge on relevance of SNPs (Limin Li et al., ISMB 2011)
- Accounting for relatedness of individuals (Rakitsch et al., Bioinformatics 2013)
- Predicting multiple correlated phenotypes jointly (Rakitsch et al., NIPS 2013)

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 14 / 34

Measuring statistical significance

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

Multi-Locus Mapping: Statistical Significance

Multiple Hypothesis Testing Problem

- What if we consider associations of groups of *c* SNPs with the phenotype?
- This leads to an enormous multiple testing problem: Any of the k SNP sets would correspond to a hypothesis that is tested $(k \in O(d^c))$.
- If unaccounted for, α per cent of all SNP sets might be considered significantly associated by random chance.
- It is imperative to control the family-wise error rate!
- If accounted for, e.g. by Bonferroni correction $\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}\right)$, we might lose all statistical power.

ECCB Workshop W7 September 3, 2016 | 15 / 34

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

Multi-Locus Mapping: Statistical Significance

Multiple Hypothesis Testing Problem

- What if we consider associations of groups of *c* SNPs with the phenotype?
- This leads to an enormous multiple testing problem: Any of the k SNP sets would correspond to a hypothesis that is tested $(k \in O(d^c))$.
- If unaccounted for, α per cent of all SNP sets might be considered significantly associated by random chance.
- It is imperative to control the family-wise error rate!
- If accounted for, e.g. by Bonferroni correction $(\frac{\alpha}{k})$, we might lose all statistical power.
- Long considered unsolvable dilemma in Data Mining
 - \rightarrow Starting Grant Significant Pattern Mining (2015-2020)

Multi-Locus Mapping: Statistical Significance

Tarone's trick

- Tarone (1990) noted that when working with discrete test statistics, e.g. Fisher's exact test, there is a minimum *p*-value that a feature combination can achieve.
- There are many untestable hypotheses whose minimum p-value is not smaller than $\frac{\alpha}{k}$.
- Only the remaining m(k) testable hypotheses can reach significance at all.
- One can correct for m(k) instead of k. As often m(k) << k, this greatly improves statistical power.</p>
- Grand data mining challenge: How to efficiently find m(k) without running through all $k \in O(d^c)$ possible hypotheses?
- We have developed frequent itemset mining algorithms for this task, which drastically improve the statistical power (SDM 2015, KDD 2015, ISMB 2015a, NIPS 2016).

Genetic heterogeneity

- Genetic heterogeneity refers to the phenomenon that several different genes or sequence variants may give rise to the same phenotype.
- The correlation between each individual gene or variant and the phenotype may be too weak to be detected, but the group may have have a strong correlation.
- The only current way to consider genetic heterogeneity is to consider fixed groups of variants. Genome-wide scans cause tremendous computational and statistical problems.

ECCB Workshop W7 September 3, 2016 | 17 / 34

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt



Department Biosystems

Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 18 / 34

Fast Automatic Interval Search (Llinares-Lopez et al., ISMB 2015)

- Our goal is to search for intervals that may exhibit genetic heterogeneity, while
 - allowing for arbitrary start and end points of the intervals,
 - properly correcting for the inherent multiple testing problem, and
 - retaining statistical power and computational efficiency.
- We model the search as a pattern mining problem: Given an interval, an individual contains a pattern, if it has at least one minor allele in this interval.

ECCB Workshop W7 September 3, 2016 | 19 / 34

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

Finding trait-associated genome segments with at least one minor allele



An interval is represented by its maximum value. The longer an interval, the more likely it is that this maximum is 1.

Department Biosystems

Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 1 September 3, 2016 | 20 / 34



 Pruning criterion 1: If too many individuals have a particular pattern, the corresponding interval is not testable.

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 21 / 34



Pruning criterion 2: If a pattern is too frequent to be testable, then none of the superintervals of the corresponding interval is testable.

Department Biosystems Karsten I

Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 22 / 34



 Our method FAIS (Fast Automatic Interval Search) improves over the brute-force interval search in terms of runtime in simulations.

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 23 / 34



 Our method FAIS (Fast Automatic Interval Search) improves over brute-force interval search and univariate approaches in terms of power in simulations.

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 24 / 34

Department Biosystems Ka



 Most significant intervals would have been missed by univariate approaches (UFE and LMM) on 21 binary phenotypes from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Atwell et al., Nature 2010).

Department Biosystems

Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 25 / 34

FAIS: Conclusions and Outlook

Conclusions

- We can search for intervals that may exhibit genetic heterogeneity
 - efficiently,
 - without pre-defining the boundaries of intervals,
 - while properly correcting for multiple testing.

FAIS: Conclusions and Outlook

Conclusions

- We can search for intervals that may exhibit genetic heterogeneity
 - efficiently,
 - without pre-defining the boundaries of intervals,
 - while properly correcting for multiple testing.

Outlook: Genetic heterogeneity discovery

- How to account for covariates like age and gender? → Solution for categorial covariates (Papaxanthos et al., NIPS 2016)
- \blacksquare How to extend our approach to non-binary encodings? \rightarrow interpretation dependent
- \blacksquare How to extend our approach to networks of SNPs or genes? \rightarrow current work

Three pointers

Department Biosystems Kars



easyGWAS

We have been developing easygwas.org, a Machine Learning platform for Geneticists (490 users in May 2016):

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 28 / 34



Department Biosystems

Machine Learning for Personalized Medicine Summer Schools

Marie Curie Initial Training Network

- We need young experts at the Interface of Machine Learning and data-driven Medicine.
- Marie Curie Initial Training Network on Machine Learning for Personalized Medicine (MLPM) (14 PhD positions, 12 labs, 8 countries, 3.75 million EUR funding from 2013-2016)
- Series of Summer Schools on Machine Learning for Personalized Medicine



www.mlpm.eu

Software

Epistasis, SCONES, Significant Pattern Mining and Graph Kernels

Epistasis: FAIS & FastCMH

www.bsse.ethz.ch/mlcb/research/bioinformatics-and-computational-biology/sis.html www.bsse.ethz.ch/mlcb/research/bioinformatics-and-computational-biology/fastcmh.html

Network GWAS: SCONES

- Significant Pattern Mining: www.bsse.ethz.ch/mlcb/research/machine-learning/wylight.html
- Graph Kernels: www.bsse.ethz.ch/mlcb/research/machine-learning/graph-kernels

http://www.bsse.ethz.ch/mlcb

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 T September 3, 2016 | 30 / 34

Network Mining for Personalized Medicine

Summary

- Network Mining, and more generally Multi-Locus Mapping, is a key technique to explore the genetic basis of complex traits.
- The high dimensionality of the problem leads to enormous computational and statistical challenges.
- Solving both problems at the same time is largely unachieved.
- We have developed several Multi-Locus Mapping approaches that achieve both.

ETH zürich

Thank you



- Alfried-Krupp-Award for Young Professors
- Marie-Curie-Programme (Initial Training Network)
- Starting Grant (ERC-Backup Scheme of the SNSF)
- Horizon2020 Research and Innovation Action
- International Genetics Consortia: IHGC and COPDGene

http://www.bsse.ethz.ch/mlcb

Department Biosystems

Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 September 3, 2016 | 32 / 34

ETHzürich

References |

- P. Achlioptas, *et al.* (ACM Press, 2011), p. 726.
- C.-A. Azencott, et al., Bioinformatics 29, i171 (2013).
- C. Becker, et al., Nature 480, 245 (2011).
- J. Cao, et al., Nature Genetics 43, 956 (2011).
- D. G. Grimm, et al., Human Mutation 36, 513 (2015).
- J. Hagmann, *et al.*, *PLoS Genetics* **11**, e1004920 (2015).
- T. Kam-Thong, et al., European Journal of Human Genetics 19, 465 (2011).
- T. Kam-Thong, et al., Human Heredity **73**, 220 (2012).
- T. Karaletsos, et al., Bioinformatics 28, 1001 (2012).
- F. Llinares-López, *et al.* (ACM Press, 2015), pp. 725–734.
- F. Llinares-López, et al., Bioinformatics 31, i240 (2015).

Department Biosystems Karste

ETHzürich

References II

B. Rakitsch, et al., Bioinformatics **29**, 206 (2013).

D. Roqueiro, et al., Bioinformatics **31**, i303 (2015).

Icon source: Icons made by Freepik from www.flaticon.com is licensed under CC BY 3.0

Department Biosystems Karsten Borgwardt

ECCB Workshop W7 September 3, 2016 | 34 / 34